TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

ZONING BOARD MEETING

Town Hall

1 Heady Street

Cortlandt Manor, NY 10567

February 15, 2024

7:00 p.m. - 8:18 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Michael Fleming, Chairman

Wai Man Chin, Vice-Chairman

Chris Beloff, Member

Frank Franco, Member

Michelle Piccolo Hill, Member

Benito Martinez, Member

Thomas Walsh, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Chris Kehoe, AICP, Director of Planning
Michael Cunningham, Deputy Town Attorney
Martin Rogers, Director of Code Enforcement

2.3

(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)

MR. MICHAEL FLEMING: The February 15, 2024 meeting of the town of Cortlandt Zoning Board of Appeals. I'd like to invite everybody who wishes to do so join me in saying the pledge of allegiance.

MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

MR. FLEMING: All right, I announced this earlier, but we have a slight change to the agenda. We are going to hear the last case on the agenda first since that's an adjourned public hearing from our last meeting, and we, traditionally our rule is to finish the adjourned hearings first, so we'll be hearing that case first. Before that though, we just have a couple of paperwork, we have to do roll call. Can everybody hear me?

MR. CHRIS KEHOE: You've got to keep the mic close.

| | Dago |
|----|--|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MR. FLEMING: Okay. Thank you. Sorry |
| 3 | about that. So first, we have to do roll call. |
| 4 | MR. KEHOE: Ms. Piccolo Hill? |
| 5 | MS. MICHELLE PICCOLO HILL: Here. |
| 6 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Martinez? |
| 7 | MR. BENITO MARTINEZ: Here. |
| 8 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Fleming? |
| 9 | MR. FLEMING: Here. |
| 10 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Chin? |
| 11 | MR. WAI MAN CHIN: Here. |
| 12 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Walsh? |
| 13 | MR. THOMAS WALSH: Here. |
| 14 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Beloff? |
| 15 | MR. CHRIS BELOFF: Here. |
| 16 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Franco noted as absent. |
| 17 | And just for the record, for the stenographer, |
| 18 | we're joined tonight by Martin Rogers, the |
| 19 | director of code enforcement. |
| 20 | MR. FLEMING: Thank you. First, before |
| 21 | we move on to the adjourned public hearing and |
| 22 | the new public hearings, has everyone had an |
| 23 | opportunity to review the meeting minutes from |
| 24 | the January 18th hearing? |

| | Page 5 |
|----|---|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Yes. |
| 3 | MR. FLEMING: All right, then I would |
| 4 | need a motion. |
| 5 | MR. WALSH: Motion to approve the |
| 6 | minutes. |
| 7 | MR. FLEMING: A second? |
| 8 | MR. CHIN: Second. |
| 9 | MR. FLEMING: All in favor? |
| 10 | MULTIPLE: Aye. |
| 11 | MR. FLEMING: Any opposed? The meetings |
| 12 | are approved the minutes are approved. All |
| 13 | right, so we are first going to go to like I |
| 14 | said, the adjourned public hearing from the last |
| 15 | meeting. This is case number 2023-17, the |
| 16 | application of Parth Patel. Our anticipation on |
| 17 | this is not to issue a ruling tonight but to take |
| 18 | the matter under advisement. Nonetheless, we |
| 19 | would like to accept any information from, from |
| 20 | the applicant as well as the public. I did cut |
| 21 | off Michelle [unintelligible] [00:02:05] I wanted |
| 22 | |
| 23 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Yeah, that's fine. |
| 24 | MR. FLEMING: to let everybody know |

February 15, 2024

2 3 our plan is not to make a ruling from the, from the floor tonight. But, Michelle, if you have

4

anything else to add, please do.

5

6

want, should I just read -- do you want to read

MS. PICCOLO HILL: No, that's it. Do you

7

the whole --

8

MR. FLEMING: Please, go ahead.

9

MS. PICCOLO HILL: Okay. So this case

10

11

interpretation of the zoning ordinance appealing

number 2023-17, application of Parth Patel for an

12

the denial of a building permit for a new one-

13

family residence for the property located at 41

14

East Hill Road.

15

Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the zoning

MR. CLIFFORD DAVIS: Okay, thank you.

16

board. My name is Clifford Davis, 200 Mamaroneck

17 18

Avenue, Suite 602, White Plains, New York. I

19

represent Mr. Patel. We made our submission,

20

initial submission on December 11, 2023. And in

21

that analysis, I walked through the merger

22

statute. And I just want to remind the board that

2.3

the merger statute of this town is 307-8. And

24

that the only way that lots can be merged is

February 15, 2024

2

pursuant to a merger statute. And that's set

3

forth with case law in my December 11, 2023

4

submission.

5

6 and what that merger statute requires is that if

7

you look at the lot width of the four lots that

8

are issued -- that are at issue here, and they,

9

all these four lots were created pursuant to the

We walked through that merger statute,

10

1907 map, that if you take all of the four lots,

11

and then you take the square -- the area divided

12

by I think the depth, it comes to, what is it,

13

it's 100 feet where 150 feet is required. So just

14

from that simple calculation under 307 -- under

15

307-8, there should be no merger and Mr. Patel

16

should be able to continue with his building

17

permit application.

18

get a rubber stamp. He has to meet all of the

And we're not here to, for him to just

1920

requirements, although he doesn't have to meet

21

the requirements of the area because it's from

22

the 1907 map.

23

Now, the denial letter of Mr. Rogers

24

refers to C of O, refers to the building permit

February 15, 2024

2

and the sewer district. They're all to serve lots

3

4

25 and 25 (SIC) is vacant woods.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

10 and 11. The house is on lots 10 and 11. Lots The board had raised issues at the last

public hearing whether Mr. Patel received any benefits by the fact that he also owns lots 25 and 26. Did he receive any benefits when the house was built in 1996 from the square footage of lots 25 and 26? There are no benefits from lots 25 and 26. We submitted the expert letter from Cronin Engineering regarding the four lots which were created on that 1907 map. And that's the same map that this board had to address in Cozzi in which it permitted a single family house on a 7,500 square foot house. The Cronin expert report said that there were no benefits, that as a result of doing the setbacks of the square footage that was permitted, that Mr. Patel, or his predecessor, the builder, was allowed to build a 3,290 square foot house, which is what he could build then, what he could build now, the house is much more modest and it's only 2,758 square feet. So that house met the bulk

February 15, 2024

2

requirements and the maximum permitted floor area

3

of 3,290 square feet in 1996. And that would be

So, I believe one of the board members

4

the same now.

5

was concerned that Mr. Patel was trying to say

6

7 that when it's convenient for Mr. Patel, he wants

8

it as one lot, and when it's convenient that he

9

doesn't want it, he wants it as separate lots.

10

That has never been our position. The position

11

has been that lots 25 and 26, the vacant woods,

12

doesn't add any value to his property, to lots 10

13

and 11 where the house is, that lots 10 and 11

14

have their own separate backyard and in fact,

15

people dump grass clippings on lots 25 and 26,

16

which detract from the community. And what we're

17

hoping to do is to build a single family house

18

that meets all the setbacks, other than area,

19

because pursuant to the 1907 map, we don't have

20

to meet that. And he would build that on lots 25

21

22

and 26.

We submitted photographs of what, of,

2.3

from looking at lots 25 and 26. It's sort of like

24

a gapless tooth in the community. And it would be

February 15, 2024

2 3 a house that would be -- that would be built totally consistent with all the other houses that

Mr. Patel also submitted an affidavit,

4

are there.

5

and in that affidavit, it refers to a case called 6

7

Matherson. And in Matherson, it dealt with a

8

9 issue there was whether the lots were used in

10

conjunction with each other. And the Second

11

Department, which covers this area, it's the

12

Appellate Court, basically set forth a test and

merger statute on Long Island in Islip. And the

13

said that the rear yard lots on parallel streets,

14

they're both on East Hill Road, only because it

15

that the lots 25 and 26, which are the woods and

16 17

vacant, they're not used in conjunction with lots

comes around, but they're really parallel streets

18

10 and 11. Lots 25 and 26 do not improve lots 10

19

and 11. They're just not used. They're not part

20

of the backyard. And then, there are grass

21

clippings so it actually detracts.

22

to lots 10 and 11 from 25 and 26. The house could

So we believe that there are no benefits

2.3

24

not have been larger, it was limited to 3,290

2.3

February 15, 2024

square feet, even though what was built in 1996 was much smaller.

So I've submitted all the documentation. It's our belief that there was no merger. And if there was no merger, then we believe that Mr. Patel should be able to proceed with his building permit application and what is proposed is to build a single family house on lots 25 and 26. And I'm here to answer any questions.

MS. PICCOLO HILL: No, no questions.

MR. FLEMING: I'll go through the board, if anyone has any questions for the applicant.

MR. DAVIS: And just so from a mathematical standpoint and Exhibit E to my December 11th letter, our engineer set forth the calculation showing that when you took all of the four lots and, and you looked at the square footage to determine the lot width, the calculation there is showing that it's not even at 100 feet when 150 feet is needed. And pursuant to 307-8, if you don't meet that calculation, then the lots do not merge.

MR. FLEMING: Again, any of the members

1 February 15, 2024 of the board have any questions or comments? No? 2 MR. CHIN: Not on my end. 3 MR. FLEMING: All right, any other 4 5 questions or comments? MS. PICCOLO HILL: No. 6 7 MR. FLEMING: All right. We're taking 8 the matter under advisement. 9 MR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM: And it's still 10 a public hearing. 11 MR. FLEMING: Oh, you're right, I'm 12 sorry. We do. Please, if any members of the 13 public have any comments they wish to make on 14 this, please come forward. When you come forward, 15 can you please just state your name and your 16 address. 17 MR. ROBERT GROSS: Sure, good evening to 18 the board. My name is Robert Gross, I reside at 19 the adjacent lot there, I think it's 27, so my 20 house is at 25 East Hill Road. When we bought 21 that house, I was looking at that lot, and as far 22 as grass clippings, I can tell you what goes on 2.3 there. If anything, it's people from up above, 24 kids play in that area. They actually use that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

lot, then play, they have set up on some of the old tree stumps and some of the old fallen timbers, they actually have like almost like a little yard back there. I go back there, why, because I can see what goes on back there. And members of the board that were this, this board, that were up on the upper lot that was approved for the house, you could -- if you come during, during one of the sunsets, that, that would be totally blocked by that lot. So the bottom line is there's total benefit to the 10 and 11 by having, you know 25 and 26 there. It's clearly not wide enough for a home. It, you know, the setback might be correct, but not the width. But as far as that, if the impression is that lot is a dumping ground for the neighborhood, and people are throwing things, I can tell you I've gone in there and I've cleaned things up because I think inadvertently people might drive by, through things back there. But if anything, it's actually a play area for people up above. But, you know, it'd be our position that it's -- when I moved in I didn't expect to see another, another house

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | right next to, to my house. Thank you. |
| 3 | MR. FLEMING: Thank you. Any other |
| 4 | members of the public have any comments? Do we |
| 5 | have anyone on Zoom who has any comments? No. All |
| 6 | right. |
| 7 | MR. KEHOE: One thing I might suggest is |
| 8 | just keep the public hearing open just in case |
| 9 | something comes up between now and next month. I |
| 10 | mean it's your prerogative. It's not up to me, |
| 11 | but I would just be thinking that maybe you'd get |
| 12 | one more |
| 13 | MR. FLEMING: I don't have a problem |
| 14 | with that. Let's, let's have a motion to adjourn |
| 15 | the public hearing, then, and then we'll allow |
| 16 | further public hearing next month before we do |
| 17 | formally vote. Any problems with that anybody? |
| 18 | MR. MARTINEZ: I make a motion. |
| 19 | MR. FLEMING: All right, motion made. Do |
| 20 | I have a second? |
| 21 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Second. |
| 22 | MR. FLEMING: All right. All in favor? |
| 23 | MULTIPLE: Aye. |
| 24 | MR. FLEMING: Any opposed? All right. So |

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | we're adjourning this to the next pub- to the |
| 3 | next hearing. What's the date of the next |
| 4 | hearing? |
| 5 | MR. KEHOE: March 21st. |
| 6 | MR. FLEMING: Okay. So it's March 21, |
| 7 | 2024, 7:00 o'clock. Unless we have another |
| 8 | adjournment today, we'll have you guys first, if |
| 9 | not, we usually go alphabetically, if there's two |
| 10 | adjourned hearings. So we'll let you know about |
| 11 | that. But you'll be hearing next week. |
| 12 | MR. DAVIS: If I can just |
| 13 | MR. FLEMING: You're welcome, please, |
| 14 | please do? |
| 15 | MR. DAVIS: Should we expect a decision |
| 16 | one way or the other at the next meeting, or is |
| 17 | it now going to be two more meetings? |
| 18 | MR. FLEMING: I think it's likely you |
| 19 | will get a decision, but I can't promise that, |
| 20 | because if someone from the public does come in |
| 21 | and makes comments that would cause us to have to |
| 22 | not be able to make a decision, we have to |
| 23 | we'd have to consider that, so. |
| 24 | MR. DAVIS: Understood. |

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. FLEMING: I, I do think it's more |
| 3 | likely than not that you will get a decision next |
| 4 | month. |
| 5 | MR. DAVIS: Thank you. |
| 6 | MR. FLEMING: Okay. All right. The next |
| 7 | case is Robert Harper and Wendy Kaufman. |
| 8 | MR. CHIN: 2024-1. |
| 9 | MR. FLEMING: And that was you? |
| 10 | MR. WALSH: No, me. |
| 11 | MR. FLEMING: Tom, all right, Mr. Walsh. |
| 12 | MR. WALSH: Case number |
| 13 | MR. FLEMING: Sorry, before you go |
| 14 | thank you, thank you, Martin. I mean we do very |
| 15 | much appreciate it. Have a good evening. So, Mr. |
| 16 | Walsh, go ahead. |
| 17 | MR. WALSH: That's all right, case |
| 18 | number 2024-1, applicant John Powers on behalf of |
| 19 | Robert Harper and Wendy Kaufman, address 7 |
| 20 | Furnace Brook Drive, for an area variance, front |
| 21 | yard, side yard and building coverage minimum for |
| 22 | a proposed addition to an existing residence. |
| 23 | Anybody here on the applicant? Mr. Power, would |
| 24 | you like to just go over the project and what |

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | you're planning to do and what the we go |
| 3 | through our five factors, you can talk about the |
| 4 | five factors too. |
| 5 | MR. FLEMING: John, just before you |
| 6 | begin, I just want to put on the record about |
| 7 | ten, 12 years ago, John Power was my architect |
| 8 | and did a project for me. I don't believe there's |
| 9 | any conflict that would cause me to recuse myself |
| 10 | just because of that, but I did just want to |
| 11 | state that I do know of the applicant's |
| 12 | architect. |
| 13 | MR. JOHN POWER: Okay. My name is John |
| 14 | Power, 24 Van Wyck Street, Croton on Hudson, New |
| 15 | York. I'd like to pass this letter of support out |
| 16 | to everyone. |
| 17 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Thank you. |
| 18 | MR. FLEMING: Sir, do me a favor, and |
| 19 | give the original to Chris Kehoe, because he'll |
| 20 | make sure that gets into the file. |
| 21 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Thank you. |
| 22 | MR. FLEMING: Thank you. That's fine. |
| 23 | We'll pass them out so you don't have to. That's |
| 24 | fine. Do you guys have enough? |

| | Daga 1 |
|----|---|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MR. KEHOE: I'll take the original. Oh, |
| 3 | you want to keep? |
| 4 | MR. FLEMING: Wai. |
| 5 | MR. CHIN: I got one. |
| 6 | MR. FLEMING: Oh, perfect. |
| 7 | MR. CHIN: No. Do you need them? |
| 8 | MR. FLEMING: We're good, everyone has |
| 9 | one. Thank you, sir. |
| 10 | MR. POWER: Okay. So that is from the |
| 11 | neighbor at 9 Furnace Brook Drive, the |
| 12 | neighboring property where abuts the, the side |
| 13 | yard we're requesting the side yard variance |
| 14 | from. So, should I read |
| 15 | MR. WALSH: Just go over what you're |
| 16 | proposing, what the |
| 17 | MR. POWER: Okay. So this residence, |
| 18 | we've been planning a number of additions and |
| 19 | alterations, going out the rear, going out the |
| 20 | side and because primarily through my narrative, |
| 21 | because the lot is so much undersized as to the |
| 22 | zoning, zoning area, we've had a few tight spots. |
| 23 | I would just say the front yard setback, the |
| 24 | entire front of the home now is well within the |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

front yard. And the thing that we're doing is taking an opportunity to alter the front porch, to do a symmetrical related to the front elevation that got the two doghouse dormers there, and the front porch now is not symmetrical or off center. So the design is to do a timber structure, as the drawings illustrate. And what we're doing with that is not coming out further with the porch itself as far as the footprint, but the timber structure itself we want to bring that timber structure out an extra I believe 18 inches past where it is now. So it really is a matter of an overhang situation and not a footprint. So that's the one with regard to the front yard setback.

The side yard setback is related to a, making this house an accessible dwelling relating to a, a ramp that will go to one side of the home and end up as a rear corridor that would allow accessibility for a bedroom level, primary bedroom level to age in place situation.

And then the third, this third variance is primarily just related to coverage, and just

1 February 15, 2024 2 the proposed design just goes over that limit, 3 the fact that this is a small, a smaller lot, 4 just by 40, 40 square feet. So those are the 5 three requested variances. So, as far as the five points, I haven't 6 7 done this here, I think only one other time. So, I live in Croton, and they have a more, bit of a 8 9 casual in a much smaller room, so I'm a little 10 intimidated, by the space here. 11 So, we've got, so these three variances 12 are related to the size of the lot and also the 13 fact that this home was built in the position 14 that it was built originally. 15 MR. WALSH: Do you know when the house 16 was built? 17 MR. POWER: Sorry? 18 MR. WALSH: Do you know when the house 19 was built? I know it's pre-zoning, but 20 approximate age. 21 MR. POWER: I could ask -- I don't know 22 personally, no, I've been told from the client, 2.3 the owner, that this was some sort of utilitary 24 structure because the, the first floor --

2.3

portions of the first floor are concrete slab, especially over the garage. The whole first floor, not only the basement level, or, but the whole first floor is, could be, pretty sure it's concrete block with that stone, as you can see in the photographs, that's stone veneer. So it's a belief that — the owner believes that this was more of a utility building. For what purpose, I don't know. And was at one point, you know, converted into a residence, so —

MS. WENDY KAUFMAN: In the 1940s.

MR. POWER: -- in the 1940, they're suggesting.

MR. WALSH: Okay.

MR. CHIN: Well, it was 1940, that's way, way before zoning. Zoning was in 1951, okay. And so this house is way before the zoning.

MR. POWER: The regulations, yeah, yes.

But we're trying to keep, you know, really the

architectural -- the additions are trying to keep

and relate to the stone, which is very nice. And,

you know, to maintain, most of the additions that

I've done, to maintain roof slopes, so that

2.3

2.4

basically the addition -- I always seek to look at the additions, which I do mostly, and alterations, to seek to make an addition like it had always been there. And that's what we're, that's what we're going for here.

MR. FLEMING: All right.

MR. WALSH: I was out to the site yesterday, I actually drove by and took a look at it. As I go through the requested variances and our five factors, you know, the front yard setback, you're not proposing anything new. The porch is existing, the stairs are existing, you're not going out past the stairs.

MR. POWER: No, it's primarily this structure that's really --

MR. WALSH: Okay.

MR. POWER: That's really because of the, the drawing that shows the elevation front, that's quite a tall structure and I explained that we need to reach out a little further to protect it from a wind driven snow or rain, so that as far as the overhang needs to go out further.

| | Dago 23 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Page 23 February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MR. WALSH: So you're not the stairs, |
| 3 | I mean from what I had seen |
| 4 | MR. POWER: No. |
| 5 | MR. WALSH: from my inspection. |
| 6 | MR. POWER: The stairs probably |
| 7 | MR. WALSH: The stairs are the same, |
| 8 | they're not, we're not projecting |
| 9 | MR. POWER: The stairs are not even |
| 10 | going to be |
| 11 | MR. WALSH: any further out. |
| 12 | MR. POWER: altered. The only thing |
| 13 | that's going to happen with the stairs is the |
| 14 | railings are failing, so the railings are going |
| 15 | to be replaced. And then, like I said, the idea |
| 16 | is to have a symmetrical, triangular timber |
| 17 | structure that's perfectly centered between those |
| 18 | two dormers on the second floor. |
| 19 | MR. FLEMING: The delta from the |
| 20 | existing sorry to interrupt, but the delta |
| 21 | from the existing to the new is you're really |
| 22 | talking about something less than three feet it |
| 23 | seems like from where the new overhang will be to |

where the existing stairs are in terms of the --

24

| Fage 24 February 15, 2024 |
|---|
| |
| because the setback is 50 feet, and the existing |
| setback right now is 37 feet. |
| MR. POWER: Yes. |
| MR. FLEMING: While your proposed |
| setback does it's 32 percent of you know, |
| so it is a relatively, you know, I wouldn't say |
| large variance, but the variance from the |
| existing, which exists as of right |
| MR. POWER: Right. |
| MR. FLEMING: is relatively small. |
| You're only talking about a two or three feet |
| delta, right. |
| MR. POWER: Yes. |
| MR. FLEMING: Okay. So I just wanted to |
| make sure I understood exactly what they were |
| saying, so. |
| MR. WALSH: And again, like what I was |
| saying too, the stairs are still the existing |
| stairs. They're not, the stairs aren't coming out |
| any further |
| MR. FLEMING: Right. |
| MR. WALSH: into the front yard |
| setback, it's |
| |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. FLEMING: It's just that the roof is going to overhang.

MR. WALSH: -- just the roof pitch is going down --

MR. FLEMING: Okay. Thank you.

MR. WALSH: -- three more feet, so.

MR. FLEMING: I appreciate it.

MR. WALSH: The second variance is for the side yard and that's for the, the ramp. We've approved those, you know, in a couple of cases that I've been on the board. I don't see that being any sort of detriment to the neighborhood. And then the building coverage, two percent for only 40 square feet is not very large and be keeping in character with the neighborhood. You know, as I drove through the neighborhood, there's a lot of large, beautiful homes that are built in there recently or added onto in there recently, so it is keeping in character with the neighborhood. So, I don't believe there's an undesirable change with these requested variances. The benefits sought by the applicant, I don't think it's very substantial that they're

February 15, 2024

asking for. And then going to be adverse impact on the neighborhood, I don't think it is. And then whether it's self-created, all variances are self-created by nature, so I have no issue with me voting in favor of this.

7

6

MR. FLEMING: Anyone else on the board?

MR. CHIN: Yeah, I would like to say

8

also, I agree with Mr. Walsh on everything. Like

10

I say, the house was built prior to zoning, at

11

least a good ten years or more maybe, who knows,

12

and what you're proposing right now, is because

13 14 the setbacks are set on the new code and you're way beyond the setback requirements anyway, so

15

you're not really going beyond a great percentage

16

compared to your house where it is now. There's

17

hardly anything, like Mr. Fleming had said, you

18

know, it's not based on the 50 foot setback, it's

19

20

based on, only, you know, your building is 34,

21

you're only coming out another two feet, that's

22

really nothing, you know what I mean. So again, I

see no problem with what you're asking for and I

would approve this no problem.

23

24

MR. FLEMING: Okay. Any other comments?

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. FLEMING: I'd also like to echo that |
| 3 | I don't think there's any problem with this, with |
| 4 | your plans. I want to give you kudos on the |
| 5 | photo- the pictures, the proposed work. I think |
| 6 | this house is going to look gorgeous when it's |
| 7 | done and will really fit into this neighborhood, |
| 8 | so I commend you on your work. Otherwise, I think |
| 9 | at this point, Tom, we need a motion? |
| 10 | MR. WALSH: We need to see if there's |
| 11 | anybody else and then in the audience who wants |
| 12 | to speak. |
| 13 | MR. FLEMING: Anyone else in the public |
| 14 | have any comments to make? |
| 15 | MR. WALSH: Online? |
| 16 | MR. FLEMING: Anyone on Zoom have any |
| 17 | comments to make? |
| 18 | MR. CHIN: Anybody on Zoom? No, okay. |
| 19 | MR. FLEMING: Very good. So then Tom, we |
| 20 | do need a motion. |
| 21 | MR. WALSH: All right, I'd like to make |
| 22 | a motion for case number 2024-1, for 7 Furnace |
| 23 | Brook Drive to close the public hearing. |
| 24 | MR. CHIN: Second. |

| | Page 28 |
|----|---|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MR. FLEMING: All in favor? |
| 3 | MULTIPLE: Aye. |
| 4 | MR. FLEMING: Any opposed? Now, public |
| 5 | hearing is closed. |
| 6 | MR. WALSH: All right, for case number |
| 7 | 24 2024-1, applicant John Power on behalf of |
| 8 | Robert Harper and Wendy Kaufman for 7 Furnace |
| 9 | Brook Drive, for an area variance, front yard, |
| 10 | side yard and building coverage minimum proposed |
| 11 | addition, front yard required 50 feet, going down |
| 12 | to 34 feet, 16 foot variance which is 32 percent, |
| 13 | side yard, 27 and a half is required, proposed |
| 14 | 20, seven and a half foot variance for 27 percent |
| 15 | and building lot coverage required 3,071, |
| 16 | proposing 3,111 square feet for a 40 foot |
| 17 | variance at two percent. This is SEQRA type II, |
| 18 | no further compliance required. |
| 19 | MR. CHIN: Second. |
| 20 | MR. FLEMING: Alright, Chris, can you |
| 21 | please poll the board? |
| 22 | MR. KEHOE: Ms. Piccolo Hill? |
| 23 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Approve. |
| 24 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Martinez? |

| | The 11/1 |
|----|---|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MR. MARTINEZ: Approve. |
| 3 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Chin? |
| 4 | MR. CHIN: Approve. |
| 5 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Walsh? |
| 6 | MR. WALSH: Yeah. |
| 7 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Beloff? |
| 8 | MR. BELOFF: Approve. |
| 9 | MR. KEHOE: Mr. Fleming. |
| 10 | MR. FLEMING: Approve. |
| 11 | MR. KEHOE: Motion carries, six to zero. |
| 12 | MR. FLEMING: All right, you'll be |
| 13 | hearing |
| 14 | MR. DAVIS: Thank you so much. |
| 15 | MR. FLEMING: you'll be hearing, |
| 16 | you'll get a decision and order from us, it'll go |
| 17 | out next week? |
| 18 | MR. KEHOE: Yeah. I'll draw up the |
| 19 | decision and order. It gets sent to Martin and |
| 20 | then as soon as Martin gets it, he can continue |
| 21 | reviewing your building permit application. |
| 22 | MR. DAVIS: Right. |
| 23 | MR. FLEMING: Congratulations and good |
| 24 | luck. |
| | |

| | Dagg 2 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Page 3 February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MR. DAVIS: Thank you. Take care. |
| 3 | MR. WALSH: It wasn't that scary, was |
| 4 | it? |
| 5 | MR. FLEMING: It really does look |
| 6 | beautiful. All right, we have, Benito, you have |
| 7 | the last case? |
| 8 | MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. |
| 9 | MR. FLEMING: All right, you can go |
| 10 | ahead and call it. |
| 11 | MR. MARTINEZ: Okay. We have the case |
| 12 | number 2024-2, the name of the applicant is Beth |
| 13 | Cofini, address is 23 Willow Place, the request |
| 14 | variance is for to permit to have five dogs where |
| 15 | there is three allowed. Can you please state your |
| 16 | name and let us know. |
| 17 | MS. BETH COFINI: Good evening, my name |
| 18 | is Beth Cofini. I really don't know what to do up |
| 19 | here, so you'll have to bear with me. |
| 20 | MR. FLEMING: Honestly, any statements |
| 21 | you want to make, we're happy to listen to them. |
| 22 | Some members of the board may have questions for |
| 23 | you. |
| 24 | MS. COFINI: Okay. |

2.3

MR. FLEMING: And they'll certainly ask them. When you got your letter from, from the planning board, I don't know if you saw, but there were five factors that were identified that our board considers when we decide to grant variances or not. You probably will hear them, and to the extent you want to have us tell you them, if you want to try and address those factors, we're happy to do so. Hang on one second, I can read those for you.

MS. COFINI: I mean one thing that I really want to push is the fact that I know the property is one of your issues. But if you look at the pictures that were sent, you can see that behind my house is completely all woods, which goes all the way down to the golf course. So the dogs have plenty of room to run. There is an electric fence on the property. They stay within the electric fence. Does the electric fence break? Absolutely. Did the batteries --

MR. MARTINEZ: And how long --

MS. COFINI: -- run out? Absolutely. But I've been there since 2006, and I've never had a

| | Dagg 2 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Page 3 February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | problem. |
| 3 | MR. MARTINEZ: How old are the dogs? |
| 4 | MS. COFINI: The youngest is four and |
| 5 | the oldest is eight. |
| 6 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Just a question, you |
| 7 | said, but now the dogs don't do they go |
| 8 | unaccompanied on the property abutting your |
| 9 | property? |
| 10 | MS. COFINI: No. |
| 11 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Okay. So |
| 12 | MS. COFINI: Not unless, I mean is it a |
| 13 | possibility that they would wander over there? Of |
| 14 | course. If the battery is not working, or if, |
| 15 | like I said, the fence is not working. And we've |
| 16 | had several issues where the fence hasn't worked. |
| 17 | For the most part, they know their boundaries, |
| 18 | because they're not allowed we have a large |
| 19 | front yard and they can't go any more than about |
| 20 | ten feet from the path going to the front door. |
| 21 | So they've never run out. |
| 22 | Now, I know you're thinking about the |
| 23 | bite. First of all, I don't know how that |
| 24 | transpired. I wasn't there. I didn't see it. All |

1 February 15, 2024
2 I know is the guy
3 tried to pet the constant of the con

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

I know is the guy got bit. I have no idea if he tried to pet the dog. I don't know if he was too close to my property. I can't answer that. But whether I have five dogs or whether I have one dog, there's always the possibility of somebody getting bit --

MR. MARTINEZ: And to your knowledge -MS. COFINI: -- whether they're on a
leash or on the property.

MR. MARTINEZ: To your knowledge, how many times did this incident happen with the --

MS. COFINI: One time in, since I've been there since 2006.

MS. PICCOLO HILL: I'm just curious, have you ever considered a solid fence, if the batteries die a bunch?

MS. COFINI: What I'm looking into, excuse me, right now, are the halo collars, by Caesar. I don't know if you are familiar with him. My neighbor across the street has one and they have a chocolate lab that's probably about a year now or two years, and the dog stays within the confines and the great thing with it is that

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | you can use the phone to set the parameters as to |
| 3 | where the dog can go, so there's no chance of |
| 4 | break in the fence per se. |
| 5 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Would you ever |
| 6 | consider a solid fence? |
| 7 | MS. COFINI: Around the entire property? |
| 8 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Around where you let |
| 9 | the dogs out. |
| 10 | MS. COFINI: That would be the entire |
| 11 | property. That's a lot of money. |
| 12 | MR. FLEMING: The Caesar system is still |
| 13 | battery operated too, so you still have the |
| 14 | possibility of the batteries dying, correct? |
| 15 | MS. COFINI: Sure. There's also a |
| 16 | possibility of the fence falling down. I mean |
| 17 | we're looking at apples and oranges. There's a |
| 18 | possibility for anything to happen. |
| 19 | MR. CHIN: Yeah, but |
| 20 | MS. COFINI: A dog is a dog. I mean |
| 21 | MR. CHIN: Yeah, but, excuse me, but the |
| 22 | incident did happen, because your dog did bit, |
| 23 | bite somebody, alright. |
| 24 | MS. COFINI: I understand. |

2.3

MR. CHIN: And because even though you have an electric fence, I know because I used to have an electric fence and my dog used to go through that like nothing, it didn't bother him at all, okay. But I'm just saying, it doesn't matter, if the guy was right outside your property line, he bit somebody.

MS. COFINI: Yes.

MR. CHIN: So that's the problem. You know, but the thing is that you have, you have --

MS. COFINI: And my question, I guess my question --

MR. CHIN: -- right now, excuse me, excuse me. I'm, I'm trying to say that right now, you're only permitted three dogs rather than five dogs by code, okay. So you're asking for a variance of permitting you to have five dogs.

MS. COFINI: Mm-hmm.

MR. CHIN: But we're trying to figure out how to permit you, you know, stay with the five dogs by doing something that you might have to do to protect yourself and your dog and from people walking by your property. I mean I have --

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | I live in the woods also, I mean, you know, when |
| 3 | I put my electric fence on, back then, it went |
| 4 | around my whole property. Not just that means |
| 5 | he couldn't got out into the woods or whatever by |
| 6 | himself. Okay. So, you know, you can't just put |
| 7 | an electric fence in the front of your property |
| 8 | and it's, you know |
| 9 | MS. COFINI: It goes around my entire |
| 10 | house. |
| 11 | MR. CHIN: Yeah, but no, I'm not saying |
| 12 | there, people could be walking in the woods. |
| 13 | It's not your woods, you know what I mean. |
| 14 | MS. COFINI: For the most part, it is. |
| 15 | MR. CHIN: How far? I mean how far? I |
| 16 | mean, you know, you could put an electric fence |
| 17 | up |
| 18 | MS. COFINI: Probably about three- |
| 19 | quarters of an acre in from the house, most |
| 20 | MR. CHIN: What I'm saying |
| 21 | MS. COFINI: Most of my property is in |
| 22 | the back. |
| 23 | MR. CHIN: I mean I put an electric |
| 24 | fence around my house. But I didn't go all the |
| | |

| | \mathbb{P}_{2} |
|----|---|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | way to the property line. |
| 3 | MS. COFINI: But I did. I did. |
| 4 | MR. CHIN: Yeah, all the way around the |
| 5 | property, all the way around the whole house? |
| 6 | MS. COFINI: It's around the whole |
| 7 | house. |
| 8 | MR. CHIN: So that means he's not |
| 9 | supposed to go to the vacant lot or next door |
| 10 | MS. COFINI: Correct. |
| 11 | MR. CHIN: without him getting |
| 12 | zapped? |
| 13 | MS. COFINI: Correct. |
| 14 | MR. CHIN: Okay. Alright. So maybe, you |
| 15 | know, I don't know, like I say, like Ms. Piccolo |
| 16 | said, you know, a solid fence, it's probably more |
| 17 | ideal, but, you know, it is costly, okay. But |
| 18 | with electric fences, you've got to make sure |
| 19 | that that's maintained |
| 20 | MS. COFINI: Yes. |
| 21 | MR. CHIN: all the time. And, you |
| 22 | know, and if people walk outside your driveway or |
| 23 | whatever, walking out, your dog just can't just |
| 24 | jump through and bite somebody, you know, that's |

| _ | Page 3 |
|----|---|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | going to be on you. It doesn't matter if you have |
| 3 | an electric fence or not. |
| 4 | MS. COFINI: And it doesn't matter if I |
| 5 | have six dogs or one. |
| 6 | MR. CHIN: That's true. |
| 7 | MS. COFINI: There's always that chance. |
| 8 | MR. CHIN: Yes, I understand that. |
| 9 | MS. COFINI: But if we're basing one |
| 10 | incident of a bite, how is that comparable to |
| 11 | whether or not I have five dogs? |
| 12 | MR. FLEMING: And there was a second |
| 13 | MS. COFINI: And this is where my |
| 14 | MR. CHIN: Excuse me. |
| 15 | MS. COFINI: concern is. |
| 16 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: So, so I think just to |
| 17 | so just code by code, why we're here, you can |
| 18 | only have three dogs on the property. Whether you |
| 19 | agree it's a smart code or whether it's a bad |
| 20 | part of the code, you can only have three dogs on |
| 21 | the property. So that's why you're here. And |
| 22 | there was another alleged incident too, where the |
| 23 | dog migrated off the property and was chasing |
| 24 | MS. COFINI: He didn't chase anyway. |
| | |

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| | redructy 13, 2024 |
| 2 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, I'm just saying |
| 3 | what, what was alleged, and he was chasing an |
| 4 | elderly person who fell and injured themselves. |
| 5 | MS. COFINI: He never chased the person. |
| 6 | MR. CHIN: Wow. |
| 7 | MS. COFINI: I'm just saying I'm just |
| 8 | saying what was alleged. |
| 9 | MS. COFINI: Yeah, it's alleged big |
| 10 | time. |
| 11 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE: I was there. |
| 12 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Are they, are they |
| 13 | MR. FLEMING: Again, no one else in the |
| 14 | public, please we, we will you're welcome |
| 15 | to speak in the future, you'll be able to come up |
| 16 | to the podium, but yelling out from the audience, |
| 17 | one doesn't |
| 18 | MR. CHIN: Doesn't work. |
| 19 | MR. FLEMING: recorded and so it's |
| 20 | just really not appropriate, so I'd appreciate it |
| 21 | |
| 22 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE: So sorry. |
| 23 | MR. FLEMING: When, when we're finished, |
| 24 | you're welcome to come up and give any comments |

| | Dago / |
|----|---|
| 1 | Page 4 February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | you want. Thank you sir. |
| 3 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Are the, are the |
| 4 | MR. MARTINEZ: Ms. Cofini, I believe |
| 5 | I'm sorry. |
| 6 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: No, that's okay. I |
| 7 | was just going to say are the dogs accompanied |
| 8 | when they're outside? |
| 9 | MS. COFINI: For the most part, yes. |
| 10 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: So you don't leave |
| 11 | them outside when you're not there, or you're |
| 12 | outside with them when they're outside? |
| 13 | MS. COFINI: For the most part, yes. Do |
| 14 | I let them out to go to the bathroom and not go |
| 15 | outside with them, yes, sometimes I do. |
| 16 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: I mean I'm just |
| 17 | I'm just asking because, you know, unfortunately, |
| 18 | if a dog does bite someone, it also puts the dog |
| 19 | at risk. |
| 20 | MR. KEHOE: Michelle, you need to get |
| 21 | closer to the mic. |
| 22 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: I'm sorry. I was just |
| 23 | asking some of these questions, because, you |
| 24 | know, if the dog bites someone, they're also put |

| | Do 1 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Page 4 February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | at risk. So |
| 3 | MS. COFINI: But let's go back to the |
| 4 | bite, all right. The skin wasn't broken, there |
| 5 | was no blood. |
| 6 | MR. CHIN: Doesn't |
| 7 | MS. COFINI: So it was a nip. It wasn't |
| 8 | a bite the way you're thinking it was a bite. |
| 9 | MR. CHIN: Not from the picture. |
| 10 | MS. COFINI: He did not press charges. |
| 11 | He did not come back to me for money if he was |
| 12 | going to the ER, all he did was report it to the |
| 13 | town. And now we're making this into a major |
| 14 | issue. |
| 15 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Oh I'm, please don't |
| 16 | misunderstand me. I'm just questioning if you'd |
| 17 | be willing to put in a physical barrier instead |
| 18 | of electric if the electric is failing and the |
| 19 | dog is sometimes found around the neighborhood. |
| 20 | So, you know, that's a question for you is that - |
| 21 | _ |
| 22 | MR. KEHOE: So, Michelle, still the |
| 23 | microphone. |
| 24 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm |

1 February 15, 2024 2 going to move it over here. 3 MS. COFINI: I can hear you. MS. PICCOLO HILL: Would you, if it came 4 5 down to it, would you put in a physical barrier, because if the code is three, if we were to allow 6 7 five, but there is the concern that the five are getting through the electrical fence, would you 8 9 consider putting in a physical barrier? 10 MS. COFINI: First, the five don't get 11 through the barrier, number one. Number two, like 12 I said, putting a fence around an acre of 13 property is going to be extremely expensive. I 14 don't make that kind of money. 15 MR. MARTINEZ: Ms. Cofini, when you 16 learned about the incident, what was the first 17 thing that came into mind that you think that you 18 can do to prevent from that to happen again? 19 MS. COFINI: Making sure the electric 20 fence or the batteries are working. I mean the 21 fence was working. The battery didn't. They tell 22 you to change the batteries every three months,

2.3

24

so three months. But unfortunately, it wasn't

three months yet, so therefore, I didn't check

| | \mathbb{D}_{2} |
|----|---|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | the battery. |
| 3 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Would you consider |
| 4 | fencing a portion of your property and only |
| 5 | allowing the dogs to roam in that portion? |
| 6 | MS. COFINI: A fence upon a fence upon a |
| 7 | fence. I yes. |
| 8 | MR. MARTINEZ: We just trying to find a |
| 9 | way to allow you to have the |
| 10 | MS. COFINI: I know. I understand. |
| 11 | MR. MARTINEZ: the five dogs. |
| 12 | MS. COFINI: I mean what you're asking |
| 13 | me to do is, I mean you're talking about |
| 14 | something that's going to cost me 20, \$30,000 to |
| 15 | do. I don't have that kind of money. |
| 16 | MR. MARTINEZ: I understand. Okay. |
| 17 | MR. FLEMING: All right. Anyone else on |
| 18 | the board have any questions or comments? Anyone |
| 19 | else have any questions or comments? |
| 20 | MR. WALSH: No. I, I have a buried dog |
| 21 | fence. I have two labs, I have one, we actually |
| 22 | had to get a stubborn dog collar for it just |
| 23 | because the normal dog collar, she'd go through |
| 24 | it no matter what, so it's a nine volt battery |

1 February 15, 2024 2 instead of the standard little disc battery and 3 that stands her straight up when she tries to go 4 through it. But I do have, you know, when the 5 battery starts going, they start blinking, so I do know when the dogs' collars are starting to go 6 7 because it starts red blinking until I change it. I understand the cost of the fence. That's an 8 9 extraordinary or an extravagant cost to put a 10 fence around your property. I, you know, I don't 11 know if that would be a solution or a feasible 12 solution for you. 13 MR. CHIN: How about these collars, like 14 the cone shape where it's further than the dog 15 can bite anybody? You know what I'm talking 16 about? I see them on dogs sometimes. 17 MS. COFINI: But you're making the 18 assumption that they're going to bite somebody 19 all the time. This is an assumption that you keep 20 making when --21 MR. CHIN: Yeah, but it doesn't matter. 22 I, I get --

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

MS. COFINI: Since 2006 --

MR. CHIN: I'm not making an assumption.

2.3

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. COFINI: it's never happened. |
| 3 | MR. CHIN: Well, it happened here, okay. |
| 4 | It shows the bite marks on the person, it shows - |
| 5 | - indicated he was bleeding and everything else |
| 6 | on the report. |
| 7 | MS. COFINI: He was not bleeding. I was |
| 8 | |
| 9 | MR. CHIN: According to this. |
| 10 | MS. COFINI: even offered to take |
| 11 | care of his knee. There was no blood. |
| 12 | MR. CHIN: It doesn't matter, okay. But |
| 13 | I'm just saying right now, you're trying to keep |
| 14 | five dogs instead of three, okay. So what I'm |
| 15 | trying |
| 16 | MS. COFINI: Yes, and one dog is |
| 17 | MR. CHIN: Excuse me. |
| 18 | MS. COFINI: is a support dog |
| 19 | MR. CHIN: Can I finish saying |
| 20 | MS. COFINI: by the way. |
| 21 | MR. CHIN: something. Can I say |
| 22 | something? |
| 23 | MS. COFINI: Mm-hmm. |
| 24 | MR. CHIN: All right, all I want to say, |
| | |

1 February 15, 2024 2 they have collars where you put on a dog where it 3 comes out like a cone, okay, prevents the dog from biting anything, all right. That could be 4 5 done and they're not expensive. I see it in Manhattan, people walking along in Manhattan, I 6 7 see them walking actually on my street over there with, with collars like that because they have 8 9 big dogs, okay. And a lab I know is not a real 10 big dog, but, you know, I'm just saying they have 11 these collars because you, you can be walking a 12 dog and they could actually attack somebody even 13 though you have them on a leash, all right. 14 MS. COFINI: That's right. 15 MR. CHIN: But with these collars that 16 come out like a cone, then they, it prevents them 17 from biting anybody. 18 MS. COFINI: So then are we going to 19 tell the -- everybody in the town that they need 20 to get a collar when they walk their dog? 21 MR. CHIN: No.

front of us though --

22

2.3

24

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

MR. FLEMING: But the case, the case in

MS. CONFINI: You're, you're singling me

| | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}}$ |
|----|---|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | out, number one |
| 3 | [COMMENTS OVERLAPPING] |
| 4 | MS. COFINI: you're comparing me to |
| 5 | you, which is unfair. |
| 6 | MR. FLEMING: Mm-hmm. The case |
| 7 | MS. COFINI: I don't care about your dog |
| 8 | and your fence not working or working. We're |
| 9 | talking about my issue. |
| 10 | MR. FLEMING: Ma'am, you're |
| 11 | MS. COFINI: And you're trying to |
| 12 | compare my problem |
| 13 | MR. FLEMING: Okay. |
| 14 | MS. COFINI: to your house and your |
| 15 | problem, and I don't think that's fair. |
| 16 | MR. FLEMING: Ma'am, I think what, |
| 17 | what's happening is the board is telling you you |
| 18 | have a nonconforming situation right now. You're, |
| 19 | you basically have two illegal dogs, and they're |
| 20 | trying to offer a conversation with you to come |
| 21 | up with a way to allow you to keep your five |
| 22 | dogs. And it's it appears that you're |
| 23 | resistant to all of the suggestions that have |
| 24 | been offered. |

1 February 15, 2024 2 MS. COFINI: The suggestions you're 3 making, I'm not resistant to. The problem is the 4 cost. 5 MR. WALSH: Well that's an unknown at 6 this point, right? 7 MS. COFINI: I mean if you're telling me 8 I have to spend \$30,000 to put up a fence, then 9 I'm just going to move out of the town, because I can't afford a \$30,000 fence. 10 11 MR. FLEMING: I understand. 12 MS. COFINI: You're putting me in a 13 predicament that I can't be in. And then you're 14 going to tell me well, if you don't put up a 15 fence, then you can't keep your dogs. Is that 16 fair? Because I don't see that as being fair. 17 MR. FLEMING: I think the answer to your 18 question is if you are not complying with the 19 town's laws, and you're -- the answer is yes, it 20 is completely fair to tell someone you have to 21 comply with the town's laws. I do think that's 22 fair. 2.3 MS. COFINI: So you're asking me to get 24 rid of my children. Would you get rid of your

| | 110000 110000 |
|----|--|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | children? |
| 3 | MR. FLEMING: I don't think anyone here |
| 4 | has mentioned any kind of child. I think we're |
| 5 | talking |
| 6 | MS. COFINI: Well, they're my children. |
| 7 | MR. FLEMING: You could feel that way, |
| 8 | ma'am. |
| 9 | MS. COFINI: I don't have two-legged |
| 10 | children, I have four-legged children. |
| 11 | MR. FLEMING: That, that |
| 12 | MS. COFINI: And as far as I'm |
| 13 | concerned, they are my children. |
| 14 | MR. FLEMING: You can feel that way all |
| 15 | you want. The law does not recognize them as |
| 16 | human children. |
| 17 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: I, I just want to say |
| 18 | I do understand that mentality. |
| 19 | MS. COFINI: I don't think everybody |
| 20 | does. |
| 21 | MR. FLEMING: I do. |
| 22 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: They may not. |
| 23 | MS. COFINI: No, you don't. |
| 24 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: But, you know, my |
| | |

2.3

goal is just to find out if there's some sort of solution. So, you know, if cost is an issue, maybe there's something else. Maybe there are other ways to help you conform. So that there isn't this concern of the extra two dogs, something so that you can keep, you know, your family members, and also protect your family members, because, you know, if they do nip the wrong -- and you know what, dogs do that sometimes.

MS. COFINI: Mm-hmm.

MS. PICCOLO HILL: They're protecting their, their home.

MS. COFINI: Yeah.

MS. PICCOLO HILL: But if they nip the wrong person, you know, it can really go south really, really quickly. So how can we make it so that, you know, you keep them, the town's happy. That's the dialogue. It's not, we're, you know, I'm not trying to attack you, I'm just trying to ask questions and start a dialogue.

MR. CHIN: Well, basically, what I'm saying with the cones on their collars, those are

1

2

February 15, 2024

34567

very inexpensive. I'm saying, you know, that will prevent you from building a, a full fence around your house, and that way I could permit you to keep five dogs. Otherwise, if you don't want to do anything that we're suggesting, then I'm going to say, I'm going to stick with three dogs and that's it.

9

8

MS. COFINI: My suggestion was the halo collars. That's going to cost me about \$5,000.

11

12

10

MR. CHIN: Well, you know what, I'm talking about the collars that are shaped like a

13

14

15

cone.

MS. COFINI: Yeah, the ones that are used when they don't want them chewing on their necks when they have surgery.

MR. CHIN: It helps for everything. It

17

18

19

20

16

keeps the dog from biting people also and it just, and they're very inexpensive. It doesn't cost \$5,000 for five of those collars. If they cost \$50 apiece, that would be a lot. So I'm

2122

making a suggestion to you. If you don't want to

23

follow that suggestion, then you do what you want

24

to do and then I'm going to vote no on your case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MS. COFINI: Why are we so against the halo collars?

MR. CHIN: I don't even know what that is.

MR. BELOFF: That doesn't seem like a permanent fix to the problem. The halo collars are just as good as your electric fence. You know what I mean? They're battery driven, the collars are battery driven, so it's a halo collar. A more permanent fix would be a permanent barrier that would delineate your property to passerbys that are actually getting bit. That's what we're trying to fix. You don't need a fence around the whole property. I don't agree that the fence is going to cost \$30,000. I mean that's an unknown. It could be a portion of the property. I've seen kennels on properties, closed in kennels where the dogs can go. And that's not for every dog. I have a German Shepherd and my dog does bite and he is family, the most trusted family member, loyalty that I could have. So I understand where you're coming from. But the collars for me, I don't think is a permanent fix to the situation,

2.3

only because of the situation that you're in. You know what I mean? It was your dog that actually bit somebody. And they're not -- I think there was a second incident here with a neighbor. But regardless, I think you need more of a permanent fix and I was told by my dog, by a friend that the dog I have is like a loaded gun. And, and if you don't get it under control or with training or whatever, the, the consequences and the amount that you're going to have to pay when it does bite somebody and does cause damage is going to be a lot worse than actually putting up a permanent barrier that will correct your situation. That's all I got.

MR. FLEMING: I think, I think the board has, you know, expressed to you that there is a concern with approving your request for a variance to allow you keep the amount of dogs you have in excess of the limit of three based on your property size. I think you understood that. Various suggestions have absolutely been made. I have no problem continuing this for a month for you to explore the various suggestions that --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I do think there's someone in the audience who may want to say something.

MR. FLEMING: I know. I'm not done yet. I have no problem continuing this for a month to allow you time to explore other options and perhaps come back to us and tell us you have other options that would allow you to keep your dogs. And I welcome you to look into some of these things. Particularly, I have no idea what a fence would cost. I do know they're based on size, and if there are options not to enclose your whole property, but to enclose a smaller part, looking into that, getting a price for it might be something you can do. Maybe you can come back to the board with a suggestion for you to find some way to do this. But I think what the board is telling you if the answer is nothing or if the answer is the halo collars that you proposed, you're likely to get a rejection. So we're trying to work with you and we're happy to work with you, and I'm happy to give you more time if you think you need it. If you have no

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

interest in that, and you just want us to vote, we're happy to do that too. But, I do believe while there's probably been some disagreement here, we're really trying to offer you solutions to allow you to keep, because you're currently not in compliance with the law. It's easy for us to just say no, comply with the law. But we're trying to find a way for you to be able to continue to be noncompliant but avoid any potential concerns that the members of the public may have. So why don't you sit down for a second, we'll let members of the public talk, you can come back up after that, and if you do think more time would be helpful, you can ask for it. If you don't want to and you want us to vote tonight, you know, we can accommodate you on that. So why don't you take a moment, you can think about it and then we will open the floor up to other members of the public.

MS. COFINI: And my understanding is that support dogs do not count.

MR. FLEMING: That's, I, I think legally that understanding is inaccurate.

| | ∥ Page 56 |
|----|---|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MS. COFINI: I don't believe so. I have |
| 3 | a |
| 4 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: It's not a service dog. |
| 5 | MS. COFINI: I have a certificate and I |
| 6 | also have a license for him. |
| 7 | MR. FLEMING: It's not a service dog. |
| 8 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: A support animal is not |
| 9 | a service dog. |
| 10 | MS. COFINI: According to the support |
| 11 | people they are. |
| 12 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: They're different. |
| 13 | They're different |
| 14 | MS. COFINI: How are they different? |
| 15 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: The support animal, |
| 16 | it's a different certification that they get. |
| 17 | MS. COFINI: And I have it. |
| 18 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: You have a support |
| 19 | animal certification. |
| 20 | MS. COFINI: Yes, I do. |
| 21 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes. And I'm saying |
| 22 | it's different than a service animal, |
| 23 | certification |
| 24 | MR. WALSH: It's a therapy dog, not a |
| | |

| | Page 5 |
|----|---|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MS. COFINI: You're not you're |
| 3 | thinking of a therapy dog. This is not a therapy |
| 4 | dog. This is a support dog. |
| 5 | MR. WALSH: The certificate was therapy |
| 6 | dog. |
| 7 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: It was a support |
| 8 | animal. |
| 9 | MS. COFINI: And he has a certificate |
| 10 | and a license, both were sent to Mr. Kehoe. |
| 11 | MR. FLEMING: We, we looked at both of |
| 12 | them. I think what we're telling you is our legal |
| 13 | conclusion is that the Americans Disabilities Act |
| 14 | does not recog does in fact recognize a |
| 15 | distinction between what is called a service dog |
| 16 | and what is called a support dog, that those are |
| 17 | in fact two separate characteristics. For |
| 18 | example, a seeing eye dog is a protected dog |
| 19 | under the Americans with Disabilities Act and a |
| 20 | service dog and a service dog, while a support |
| 21 | dog does not meet that same legal criteria. |
| 22 | MS. COFINI: They are protected by the |
| 23 | Fair Housing Act. |
| 24 | MR. FLEMING: Again, we've received what |
| | |

you sent to us and we disagree with that. And, and if we make a decision on that, again, you would have the right to seek redress and appeal our decision. But I believe the conclusion we have made is that they are not a protected code.

MR. WALSH: And town code doesn't differentiate either between service, regular, or pet, so it's still five dogs. I asked that question.

MS. COFINI: I believe you do. I think I read it somewhere in your code. And it says in here federal law allows for animals of any kind including dogs of any breed to be considered emotional support animals with proper documentation. And that's federal law.

MR. MARTINEZ: Ms. Cofini?

MS. COFINI: Yes?

MR. MARTINEZ: One thing I just want you to know, as you can see, the people who was affected by the dog, they didn't come to you, they came to the town. When they do that, it is because they want the town to act on it. But we don't want to separate you from your five dogs,

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | we want to keep you with them. But we have to |
| 3 | come up with some solution so that doesn't keep |
| 4 | happening. Because if it happen again |
| 5 | MS. COFINI: But I did offer a solution. |
| 6 | MR. MARTINEZ: because if it happen |
| 7 | again, then they're going to come and, you know, |
| 8 | the town is going to be the one that has to be |
| 9 | so we need to, we want to work with you, so you |
| 10 | can let us know, like the chairman just |
| 11 | recommended if you wanted you know, sit down, |
| 12 | take a minute or a few minutes and think about |
| 13 | what you'd like to suggest to us so we don't have |
| 14 | the police involved. |
| 15 | MS. COFINI: I made a suggestion and you |
| 16 | turned it down. |
| 17 | MR. MARTINEZ: But we just explained to |
| 18 | you why, because we need something that is a more |
| 19 | defined solution to the problem. |
| 20 | MS. COFINI: But |
| 21 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Have you ever gotten |
| 22 | a quote for a fence? |
| 23 | MS. COFINI: No. |
| 24 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: That might be a good |
| | |

| | Page bl |
|----|--|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | step. |
| 3 | MS. COFINI: If it's over \$5,000 which |
| 4 | I'm sure it is |
| 5 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Well, it depends on - |
| 6 | _ |
| 7 | MS. COFINI: who's going to pay for |
| 8 | it? |
| 9 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: it probably |
| 10 | depends on the quality of the the kind of |
| 11 | fence. If you're doing chain link, it probably |
| 12 | depends on how much area, but maybe you can get, |
| 13 | maybe you can get some option that's similar to |
| 14 | the halo collar. It might be worth getting a |
| 15 | quote. |
| 16 | MR. FLEMING: She's talking about the |
| 17 | cost. |
| 18 | MS. COFINI: Sure. |
| 19 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: The cost wise. |
| 20 | MS. COFINI: Sure. I'll get a quote, but |
| 21 | we're going to be back in this same predicament |
| 22 | because I know it's not going to cost \$5,000 to |
| 23 | put a fence up. |
| 24 | MR. MARTINEZ: But that's why we're |
| | |

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | giving you the chance to explore what do you |
| 3 | think you can come up with and then we can |
| 4 | consider it and see if it's something that can be |
| 5 | a fix for, for the problem, so to prevent for |
| 6 | that to happen again and people not to complain |
| 7 | to the town again. |
| 8 | MS. COFINI: Well, I hear you, I do. But |
| 9 | what I'm hearing is that I did make a suggestion |
| 10 | and you're turning it down. |
| 11 | MR. CHIN: No, Mr. Beloff |
| 12 | MS. COFINI: I can't afford your |
| 13 | suggestion, so you're putting me between a rock |
| 14 | and a hard place. |
| 15 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Getting an estimate |
| 16 | doesn't cost anything. |
| 17 | MS. COFINI: And again, if it costs over |
| 18 | \$10,000 or over \$5,000, I'm going to be back in |
| 19 | the same hole. |
| 20 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: But we're not telling |
| 21 | you yes or no right now. We're asking you |
| 22 | unless you want us to vote today. |
| 23 | MS. COFINI: No, I don't want you to |
| 24 | vote. But, nevermind. |

2.3

MS. PICCOLO HILL: No, I do -- I, you know, I do understand. I have dogs. They are members of my family. I absolutely understand. I grew up in a family with lots of dogs. I understand that they're members of the family. I understand that they're support and they're comfort and they're, you know, that's why I'd like to help you find a solution, one that isn't astronomically expensive, that maybe you can afford, but can also appease some of the concern, hence the dialogue. No, I'm not saying yes or no, I'm just trying to, you know, talk to you and help come up with a solution.

MR. KEHOE: And maybe you could provide more information on the halo collars, because I think Mr. Chin said he wasn't aware of what they were and I just looked online. You know, maybe provide information that they're better than an electric fence, find information that they work, whatever, part of the back and forth.

MR. CHIN: Well --

MR. KEHOE: If that's what you're really proposing, get more information on that.

1 February 15, 2024 MR. CHIN: But it's like Mr. Beloff 2 3 says, they're same as like electric fence, 4 they're electrically inclined. 5 MR. KEHOE: I understand that, but --MR. FLEMING: That, that's fine. 6 7 MR. CHIN: But what I'm suggesting, you don't like those collars that flare out a little 8 9 bit, that come out, they stick out so far, where 10 they're very inexpensive, you put it on like a 11 collar around the dog and it -- they are very 12 inexpensive compared to your electric fence or 13 anything else. 14 MR. KEHOE: So you're hearing from Mr. 15 Chin that he'd like you to examine that 16 possibility as well. 17 MR. CHIN: I mean that is a very 18 inexpensive way of going. 19 MR. FLEMING: I think there have been 20 multiple suggestions made for you, and I would 21 like for you to sit and think about it. Again, if 22 you want us to vote tonight, we will. If you 2.3 don't, and if you want to think if there's a way

you can try and work with the suggestions offered

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

by the board or if you want to take some time and see if there's another suggestion you can come up with. I think the biggest key when you're offering suggestions is providing the board with a significant amount of information about your proposal, so we really can understand it. So I would just ask you, you know, if you are going to consider other options, you know, to do that, to provide as much information to us as you can, so we can look at them. The answer right now is you are in violation of code and, you know, to accommodate you, we need to work past just leaving things at the status quo. So if there is, again, if you would like to take some more time, if you want to get some sort of quotes, if you want to explore the collars that Mr. Chin mentioned, I honestly don't know what they are either, so I would love to hear about them.

MS. PICCOLO HILL: I would too.

MR. FLEMING: If you want to provide additional information about the halo options. I mean you have options. We're telling you that you're not complying with the law and we're

2.3

February 15, 2024

trying to work with you to find a way to allow you to continue not to comply. We just want to have that dialogue and to come up with a solution that makes sense. At the end of the day, if we can't, we'll vote and we'll have to make a decision. But I think you want to take some time to come up with viable alternatives. I think it would be in your best interest if you did that.

MS. COFINI: Okay. I have no problem doing it.

MR. FLEMING: All right, why don't you sit down now, we're going to ask other members of the public to come up and make any comments they want, and then I think what we'll do is after that, adjourn this hearing until next month and allow you to have that time. At this point, yeah we definitely invite any members of the public who wish to come up. Pleas state your name, your address and then any comments you wish to make, please do.

MS. DONNA SLOTA: Okay. My name is Donna Slota, I live right next door at 25 Willow Place.

MR. FLEMING: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MS. SLOTA: And there was a lot of, from Ms. Beth Cofini, a lot of misstatements. Her dogs have been on my property numerous times. I lived at this address since 2005. That's when I first purchased the house. She purchased the house next door to me after that. And in the beginning, the dogs would just randomly come. I did see the little, for the electric fence that she's talking about, I'm assuming, I don't have dogs, but there was like little flags all over the place. But that didn't work. So eventually, I put a fence around most of my property in the back. I put a white fence, they weren't happy with it, but it didn't matter. I did it for my safety so when I'm actually in the back yard at least of my house, I'm safe.

The dog that she's saying that, that that's not true about the older woman was my mom that was chased by the dog. And my mom and my little step niece, I'm sorry, not step niece, my niece's daughter, so great niece, was in the front yard and they were just walking around my yard and their dog came across and my mom noticed

2.3

February 15, 2024

the dog coming at them, so she tried to shoo it away and he chased them, so she grabbed my one-year, the one-year old and started running because the -- and the dog started chasing them. Now whether he wanted to play or not, obviously, she's not going to take that as that, he wants to play. And so then as she was coming around to try to get into my garage, that's when she slipped and fell.

They did come to my house a few hours later. Now, as this happened, I ran, obviously -- I heard her screaming, so I ran out of the house through the garage and I saw her as she was falling, so I didn't know what happened, and she said a dog is chasing us, a dog is chasing us. So I ran out and I tried to shoo the dog away. So the dog did go -- and I was screaming her name on top of my lungs as I was running up from the front of my house. Nobody came out. Nobody. So, and this is not the first time this happened, that I was yelling for, for somebody to come when the dog was on my property.

So then I called, obviously, I called

2.3

the police that day. they said they were going to speak to, to them and apparently they did. Then they came over like hours later, and one of the questions that she asked my mom, as my mom was outside now, she said, oh, he didn't bite you, did he? I was like okay, and so, because before that, she tells me that oh, he's the nicest dog and he just wanted to play and that's why this —that's why he was running after her.

So then I called animal control, because I did call you guys prior to that, like in October. This happened in like the summer. So prior to that, I did call the state and I, or you guys here, and I was told that you don't have anybody that works for, with animal control. So you, the person told me that she will try to get in contact with the zoning board and, and see what's going on, because if she's -- if they live on an acre of property, which is what I live on, they shouldn't have more than three dogs.

So as far as I know, there's been five dogs on that property since I can remember. And the only reason I know as well is because I had a

2.3

tree cut in the middle, in the front yard, and as I was clearing it out with a friend of mine, Beth came up holding the dog and there was a neighbor coming from the top that said, oh, is this the forth, and she goes oh no, that's my fifth baby as she was holding the dog. At that moment, I wasn't even aware that she had five dogs. I know that there was like four or five, but I wasn't, you know, they just -- my problem is if you allow five dogs, there's going to be -- there might be seven dogs. And honestly, the front of my -- I didn't put a fence, I put a fence up to the half of my house. The other half is not fenced in.

So when, then finally when this incident happened, a gentleman from here, from the, I guess from the animal control did come and speak to them and then he called me like a week later and says like oh, I was there today and I said, oh, I don't know what time you were here, but the dog was on my property again. So he said to me, look, I'm really sorry to hear that because I was told that it wasn't going to be happening.

Then about a month later, it wasn't --

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

this happened with the black dog. Then the next, about a month later, I'm looking at my cameras because I was down in my basement and the cameras started going off on my phone so I'm like what's going on. So then I looked on my cameras and the white dog is actually at my front porch walking around and then he's moving around, he's peeing all over my yard in the front. So I was like okay, this, this is not working. So this is what I deal with, with them. And this is why I don't want them -- not only that, they let the dogs out 2:00 o'clock in the morning, 4:00 o'clock in the morning, anybody that's in my, one comes to my house, like my friends or my mom, or my, or my sister was, nobody actually wants to stay in the bedroom, right, facing their side, because the dogs are just -- they're barking for long time. But, you know, when you fall asleep, it's 2:00 o'clock in the morning and something wakes you, it's like an alarm clock. It's very hard to go back to sleep. So, so even with that, I mean I can hear their dogs sometimes for 15, 20 minutes barking outside. I don't know if they see an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

February 15, 2024

animal, whatever it is. But there's been plenty of times that I yelled through the window like shut up, or, you know, every time I'm outside, like even decorating my outside, all four dogs will stand against me and bark until I either tell them to shut up or I go back into the house. And this is constant. This is not something that happens once in a blue moon, or never, like she said they never come across to any yard. They've been on my yard like countless times, like thousands of times. And they're not even there to even -- even a few days after this big incident with my mom happened, I was yelling for them and I believe the gentlemen, I don't know their names, was like doing some fire or something in the back. And he, then he started yelling for the dog when I was yelling through the window like your dog is on my property again, you know.

So that's where I'm -- I understand with the expense of a fence, because I put one up.

It's obviously not \$30,000. I wish they, if they want to have the dogs, I wish they at least put some kind of a fence between our property. The

half, I put in I think 200 feet, because it's 300 by 100 I think is the lots. So I put up a fence on my side all around that section, especially with their property, so I think there's only like 100 feet of fence on my side. The rest of it is already there for them, because I put it up. And I understand that not a lot of people have money, but they put a pool in, an in-ground pool three years ago, and that's not cheap. So, so I don't know why that's even an issue with, you know. I just, you know, with the noise level and the gentleman from the, for the patrol from here did tell me to videotape it or get it on the phone, but I'm really not going to be, try to be up at 3:00 o'clock in the morning or 4:00 o'clock in the morning to try to tape the dog barking. But, but it is constant.

I have to say this last two weeks, I haven't heard anything, so the dogs must have been in the house. But, but prior to that, it's constant. It's constant noise and it's constant on my property, it's constant leaving feces on there and, so.

1

2

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. FLEMING: What's your address again, |
| 3 | I'm sorry. |
| 4 | MS. STROTA: I'm sorry? |
| 5 | MR. FLEMING: What's your address again? |
| 6 | MS. STROTA: 25 Willow, I'm right next |
| 7 | door. |
| 8 | MR. FLEMING: Okay. And your fence goes |
| 9 | the whole property line between |
| 10 | MS. STROTA: My no, my fence goes |
| 11 | where my house stops. I fenced what happens is |
| 12 | my, my house is, is like here and then so I |
| 13 | fenced it like almost to the end of my house this |
| 14 | way and all the way to the back all around. |
| 15 | MR. KEHOE: I have an aerial image that |
| 16 | shows the fence. I can get |
| 17 | MS. STROTA: The white fence? |
| 18 | MR. KEHOE: Yeah. |
| 19 | MS. STROTA: Yeah. |
| 20 | MR. KEHOE: I can get that to the board |
| 21 | members |
| 22 | MR. CHIN: Thank you. |
| 23 | MR. KEHOE: To give you an idea. |
| 24 | MR. CHIN: Thank you, Chris. |
| | |

| | Dago 1/2 |
|----|--|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MR. WALSH: I can, I guess it's the |
| 3 | Google Map I can see, is it a white fence? |
| 4 | MS. STROTA: Yes. That's my fence. |
| 5 | MR. WALSH: I can see it in the Google |
| 6 | Map. |
| 7 | MS. STROTA: That's mine. But the front |
| 8 | of it isn't and now the dogs are |
| 9 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Oh, yeah. |
| 10 | MS. STROTA: obviously getting on the |
| 11 | front of the house. |
| 12 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: I can see it right |
| 13 | there. Yeah. |
| 14 | MS. STROTA: Now, obviously, when my |
| 15 | mom's around, or my niece or my great niece, we |
| 16 | constantly have to pay attention to whether the |
| 17 | dogs are there or not, because most of the time, |
| 18 | they are not with them. Or they're in the house, |
| 19 | most likely, I'm assuming, but the dogs are |
| 20 | outside. |
| 21 | MR. FLEMING: All right, thank you, |
| 22 | ma'am, for your comments. |
| 23 | MS. STROTA: Thank you. |
| 24 | MR. MARTINEZ: Thank you. |
| | |

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. FLEMING: Anybody else have any |
| 3 | comments to make? Is there anybody on the Zoom |
| 4 | who has any comments to make? |
| 5 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Now there's more |
| 6 | comments? |
| 7 | MR. FLEMING: Well, that's the the |
| 8 | applicant is going to come up, I'm going to |
| 9 | invite her to come back and finish. |
| 10 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Oh, I think the guy |
| 11 | next to her was |
| 12 | MR. FLEMING: Hang on for one second |
| 13 | please, ma'am. Is there someone else? I missed |
| 14 | what you said? |
| 15 | UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: No, no, there's |
| 16 | only one person on Zoom, but they're not raising |
| 17 | their hand. |
| 18 | MR. FLEMING: Okay, thank you. I'm |
| 19 | sorry, ma'am, you're welcome, please, like I |
| 20 | said, to, to further your comments now. |
| 21 | MS. COFINI: First, I have to cool down, |
| 22 | because I will say the wrong thing. First of all, |
| 23 | whether or not I put a pool in has nothing to do |
| 24 | with what we're talking about. |

1 February 15, 2024 2 MR. FLEMING: Ma'am, ma'am, you don't 3 have to address --4 MS. COFINI: And I'm really getting 5 annoyed --MR. FLEMING: You --6 7 MS. COFINI: -- about the comments that 8 she made to you that are not true. 9 MR. FLEMING: Ma'am, you don't have to 10 address any of the comments she make. You're 11 certainly free to, but you're not required to 12 address comments that another member of the 13 public had made. If you want to say something, 14 you're welcome to, but you don't have to address 15 it and any member of the public, whether they 16 know you or not is welcome to attend here tonight 17 and make a comment. You don't have to address 18 anything. So, you're welcome to if you want to. 19 MS. COFINI: But if I don't address it, 20 you're going to take it into consideration. 21 MR. FLEMING: We're going to take it 22 into consideration whether you address it or not. 2.3 We take into consideration all comments that are

2.4

made.

1 February 15, 2024 2 MS. COFINI: I understand, but there is 3 no truth to the comments she made. 4 MR. FLEMING: You're, you're welcome to 5 state that. MS. COFINI: My dogs have never been on 6 7 her property a thousand times. Whether or not I 8 put a pool in is really not her business. I had 9 no discussion with her about putting up her fence, I never told her I disliked the fence. The 10 11 only argument that we ever had was when I moved 12 in and she started cutting trees down, and I was 13 a little perturbed, because I wanted to be sure 14 she wasn't cutting my trees down. And that is the 15 only time we have ever spoken. 16 MR. FLEMING: Okay. 17 MS. COFINI: So she's spewing out all 18 this information then why hasn't she come to me? 19 And if she's so afraid of the dogs, why doesn't 20 she stay behind her fence that she put in to 21 protect her from the dogs? 22 MR. WALSH: She has a right to walk her 2.3 own property. 24 MR. FLEMING: Before you go, I just --

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. COFINI: And what's the difference |
| 3 | if there's three dogs or five dogs? They're still |
| 4 | going to bark. They're still |
| 5 | MR. CHIN: The code. |
| 6 | MS. COFINI: going to do what they |
| 7 | do. |
| 8 | MR. FLEMING: Again, the code. Again |
| 9 | MS. COFINI: They're dogs. |
| 10 | MR. FLEMING: you're legally allowed |
| 11 | to have three and not five. |
| 12 | MS. COFINI: I understand that. |
| 13 | MR. FLEMING: That is the difference. |
| 14 | MS. COFINI: But the, the bottom line is |
| 15 | whether it's three dogs or five dogs, it, it |
| 16 | if I get rid of two dogs, it's not going to |
| 17 | change the situation. That's what I'm trying to |
| 18 | get across to you. |
| 19 | MR. FLEMING: Listen, there, there are |
| 20 | codes in the town which deal with quiet hours and |
| 21 | barking dogs. And if you, if you violate those |
| 22 | rules, that has nothing whatsoever to do with the |
| 23 | decision we are making. If you live in compliance |
| | |

with those rules, it has nothing to do with the

24

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | decision we're making. |
| 3 | MS. COFINI: So now there's, there's |
| 4 | violations as to when dogs can bark? |
| 5 | MR. CHIN: Yes. |
| 6 | MR. FLEMING: Without, without question, |
| 7 | yes there are, but |
| 8 | MS. COFINI: You know |
| 9 | MR. FLEMING: but again, those |
| 10 | MS. COFINI: can you send me all |
| 11 | these laws, because |
| 12 | MR. FLEMING: You can |
| 13 | MS. COFINI: I'd really like to see |
| 14 | them. |
| 15 | MR. FLEMING: the, the town code is |
| 16 | available on the internet. You can go to the |
| 17 | town's website and get to it and you could read |
| 18 | the entire thing. |
| 19 | MS. COFINI: I did. And I didn't see any |
| 20 | of this. |
| 21 | MR. FLEMING: Again, again, I, again, |
| 22 | I'm not giving you legal advice, what I'm telling |
| 23 | you though is whether or not you're in compliance |
| 24 | or not in compliance with other rules has nothing |

1 February 15, 2024

2.3

2.4

whatever to do with whether or not you're in compliance with the maximum number of dogs that you're allotted for on your property. That's what we're being -- that's what we're addressing here. You're asking us to allow you to have a variance of two additional dogs. What I asked you to do is to think about whether or not you want additional time --

MS. COFINI: I have no problem doing that. But my problem is people are making comments that are untrue.

MR. FLEMING: And you've stated that. We have her comments. We have your comments. We'll consider them. And I thank you. And if you have any more comments to make, we would welcome those to. But I just want to know do you want us to adjourn this hearing to allow you to have another month, come back at the next hearing to address the proposals that various members of the board have, or to the extent you have other proposals and specifically one request, to provide additional information about the halo collars that you suggested.

| | II 112 A 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
|----|--|
| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MS. COFINI: Yeah. |
| 3 | MR. FLEMING: If you want that, I am |
| 4 | fairly confident this board will agree to that |
| 5 | and give you that 30 days. |
| 6 | MS. COFINI: Okay. |
| 7 | MR. FLEMING: All right, so what I'm |
| 8 | going to do is I'm going to call for a motion to |
| 9 | |
| 10 | MR. WALSH: I have one question before - |
| 11 | _ |
| 12 | MR. FLEMING: I'm sorry, go ahead, go |
| 13 | ahead. |
| 14 | MR. WALSH: You know, dogs are supposed |
| 15 | to be licensed in the town of Cortlandt. Are |
| 16 | three of the five dogs licensed, or any of your |
| 17 | dogs licensed? |
| 18 | MS. COFINI: They're all licensed I |
| 19 | believe. |
| 20 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: I think there are three |
| 21 | that are licensed, because you can only license |
| 22 | three per property. So that's how |
| 23 | MS. COFINI: But I |
| 24 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: I, I think you might |
| | |

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | have filed that |
| 3 | MS. COFINI: Was I told to get I |
| 4 | thought I was told to license the other two. |
| 5 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: I think you might have |
| 6 | filed the applications for all the dogs. I don't |
| 7 | know if they necessarily all received their |
| 8 | license because you're over the three, but I do |
| 9 | think you submitted your applications? |
| 10 | MS. COFINI: So you want me to license |
| 11 | the other two? |
| 12 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: You can't license them |
| 13 | yet because right now you're only permitted at |
| 14 | three. |
| 15 | MS. COFINI: Okay. |
| 16 | MR. FLEMING: That answers Tom's |
| 17 | question I think. |
| 18 | MR. WALSH: Yes. |
| 19 | MR. FLEMING: Okay. All right. Any other |
| 20 | questions for tonight? All right, so I'd like to |
| 21 | have a motion to adjourn this until the next |
| 22 | hearing. Again, what's the hearing date next week |
| 23 | next month? |
| 24 | MR. BELOFF: The 21st. |
| | |

| | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{A}}$ |
|----|---|
| 1 | Page 8 February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | MR. CHIN: 21st. |
| 3 | MR. FLEMING: All right, so, I'd like a |
| 4 | motion to adjourn. I'm sorry, it's your case, you |
| 5 | make the motion. |
| 6 | MR. MARTINEZ: Okay, I will. Is she |
| 7 | asking for the adjournment? |
| 8 | MR. FLEMING: She has asked for the |
| 9 | adjournment. |
| 10 | MR. MARTINEZ: I make a motion to |
| 11 | adjourn the meeting to, for a month |
| 12 | MR. FLEMING: March 21st. |
| 13 | MR. MARTINEZ: March 21st so we can |
| 14 | review it again. |
| 15 | MR. FLEMING: Second? |
| 16 | MR. WALSH: Second. |
| 17 | MR. FLEMING: All in favor? |
| 18 | MULTIPLE: Aye. |
| 19 | MR. FLEMING: All right, so this public |
| 20 | hearing has been adjourned until the March 21st |
| 21 | hearing where you can come back. What I'd like |
| 22 | you to do if possible, if you have any additional |
| 23 | information you want the board to consider, as |
| 24 | much lead time as you give us, it's easier for us |

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

to look at it. So if you could give us any information you have four or five days in advance, a week in advance, it gets circulated to the board by Mr. Kehoe almost immediately and then we're able to actually look at it and digest it before coming in here today. We could look at it if you get it to us that day, but you're only talking about us looking at it a half hour before the hearing and that's not a lot of time.

MR. FLEMING: I'm sorry, ma'am, I'm sorry, ma'am, just real quick. We're having this conversation, come back to the mic, because it won't get recorded unless you say it into the mic. And I do want your comments to be a part of the record. I'm sorry for that, just --

MS. COFINI: Sorry. The halo collar, I'll get you right away, because that I can pull off of the internet. But the price of the fence is going to take me time.

MR. FLEMING: I understand.

MR. MARTINEZ: And also look into the advice Mr. Chin give you too, so.

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. CHIN: Are you even thinking about |
| 3 | the cones around their heads at all? |
| 4 | MS. COFINI: I have no idea what you're |
| 5 | talking about. |
| 6 | MR. CHIN: You have never seen |
| 7 | MS. COFINI: Other than what I see them |
| 8 | use in the vet. |
| 9 | MR. CHIN: You have never these cone |
| 10 | collars around dogs' heads to keep them |
| 11 | MS. COFINI: Yeah, when they're ill and |
| 12 | they don't want |
| 13 | MR. CHIN: No, they're not for ill only. |
| 14 | MS. COFINI: them to bite themselves. |
| 15 | MR. CHIN: They're for keeping from |
| 16 | biting people, if you're walking on the street. |
| 17 | It's for your own protection more than the |
| 18 | protection of your neighbor. Because if you do, |
| 19 | your dog does bite somebody, they're going to sue |
| 20 | you, not me. |
| 21 | MS. COFINI: I understand that, but I |
| 22 | don't where did you see these, because I have |
| 23 | no idea. |
| 24 | MR. CHIN: They're all over. You can ask |

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | any kennel, any place, they sell them all over. |
| 3 | MR. KEHOE: So I guess |
| 4 | MS. COFINI: Okay. |
| 5 | MR. KEHOE: the question would be to |
| 6 | analysis whether those cones |
| 7 | MR. FLEMING: A cone or even a muzzle or |
| 8 | anything of that nature |
| 9 | MR. KEHOE: I always thought the cones - |
| 10 | _ |
| 11 | MR. CHIN: It's not a muzzle. It's a |
| 12 | cone |
| 13 | MR. KEHOE: But |
| 14 | MR. CHIN: that comes around the, the |
| 15 | head of the dog and it, all it does is just like, |
| 16 | it looks like a cone, a reverse cone where it's |
| 17 | wider in the front and it goes around the neck, |
| 18 | okay. And they are very inexpensive compared to |
| 19 | your suggestion with that other thing. |
| 20 | MR. KEHOE: Right. So get information on |
| 21 | how common those are |
| 22 | MR. CHIN: They're really common. |
| 23 | MR. KEHOE: outside of medical |
| 24 | procedures. |
| | II |

1 February 15, 2024 MS. PICCOLO HILL: Yes. 2 3 MR. KEHOE: You know, to see if that's 4 a, you know, as Mr. Chin is saying, it's a normal 5 thing, get some information about that if you 6 want. 7 MR. CHIN: Very normal. 8 MR. FLEMING: And again, ma'am, these 9 suggestions from this board, they're suggestions 10 we've made. Seriously, if you have other ideas, if you have other suggestions, I, I know Michelle 11 12 had mentioned earlier about, you know, if you 13 can't afford to fence in your whole yard, fencing 14 in a smaller portion of your yard. I mean again, I think this board is very willing to work with 15 16 you, but I, I think we need to hear from you what 17 options you're willing to work with us on. So, 18 please take the time. If you have other 19 suggestions, we welcome them as well. 20 MR. CHIN: Even Mr. Beloff --

MS. COFINI: One question I do have is the height of the fence.

MR. FLEMING: Oh, we have height restrictions.

21

22

2.3

24

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| | |
| 2 | MS. COFINI: If I get a price, I need to |
| 3 | know the height. |
| 4 | MR. FLEMING: Sure. So we have |
| 5 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: The height maximum is |
| 6 | six feet. |
| 7 | MR. FLEMING: Maximum |
| 8 | MS. COFINI: Huh? |
| 9 | MR. CUNNINGHAM: The maximum will be six |
| 10 | feet. |
| 11 | MR. CHIN: It can't go above. |
| 12 | MS. COFINI: But you only need four feet |
| 13 | around a pool, why do I need a six feet |
| 14 | MR. FLEMING: You don't |
| 15 | MR. KEHOE: You don't need six. Six is |
| 16 | the maximum. |
| 17 | MR. CHIN: The maximum. |
| 18 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: No, I don't |
| 19 | MS. COFINI: Oh, maximum. |
| 20 | MR. KEHOE: You can't go higher than |
| 21 | six. |
| 22 | MS. COFINI: Okay. |
| 23 | MR. CHIN: Like Mr. Beloff said, that |
| 24 | you could put just a run for the dogs to keep |
| | |

| 1 | February 15, 2024 |
|----|---|
| 2 | them secure rather than the whole entire |
| 3 | property, something like that could be very |
| 4 | inexpensive. You know what I mean too, compared |
| 5 | to a whole fence all the way around, around the |
| 6 | house or whatever you want to do. But there's a |
| 7 | lot of suggestions that are made by this board |
| 8 | for you right now. And I think the one with the |
| 9 | collar is the cheapest of all. Because I've seen |
| 10 | them all over. |
| 11 | MS. COFINI: I'll look into it because |
| 12 | like I said, I've never heard of it other than |
| 13 | MR. CHIN: If you, if you |
| 14 | MS. COFINI: in the vet. |
| 15 | MR. CHIN: had dogs for, for how many |
| 16 | years you have it, go talk to your veterinarian, |
| 17 | any of the dog kennels, they'll tell you where to |
| 18 | buy those things. They are all over the world. |
| 19 | MR. FLEMING: All right. |
| 20 | MR. CHIN: You see them. |
| 21 | MR. FLEMING: Thank you, I appreciate |
| 22 | that. Ma'am, thank you for your time. We welcome |
| 23 | any other suggestions you have as well. Just |
| 24 | please get them to us as early as you can in |

| | II |
|----|---|
| 1 | Page 90 February 15, 2024 |
| 2 | advance of the March 21st meeting. |
| 3 | MS. COFINI: Okay. |
| 4 | MR. FLEMING: Thank you. |
| 5 | MS. COFINI: I will do. Thank you. |
| 6 | MR. FLEMING: All right, I need a motion |
| 7 | to adjourn this meeting. Please do. |
| 8 | MR. WALSH: So moved. |
| 9 | MR. FLEMING: No, we're I'm sorry, |
| 10 | we're done. I need a motion to close the, the |
| 11 | February 15, 2023 (SIC). |
| 12 | MR. WALSH: So moved. |
| 13 | MR. FLEMING: Second? |
| 14 | MS. PICCOLO HILL: Second. |
| 15 | MR. FLEMING: All in favor? |
| 16 | MULTIPLE: Aye. |
| 17 | MR. FLEMING: All right, motion is |
| 18 | granted. We are dismissed. |
| 19 | (The public board meeting concluded at |
| 20 | 8:18 p.m.) |
| | |

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Ryan Manaloto, certify that the foregoing transcript of the board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on February 15, 2024 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

philon

Date: February 29, 2024

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669

New York, NY 10003